

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/605,157	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GUTOWITZ, HOWARD ANDREW	
	<b>Examiner</b> TANH Q. NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2182	

**All Participants:**

(1) TANH Q. NGUYEN.

(2) HOWARD A. GUTOWITZ (INVENTOR).

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) ALAN A. R. COOPER (RN 51,217).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 and 103

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Balakrishnan (US 5,952,942)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/TANH Q. NGUYEN/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2182

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Claim 1 in the response filed March 9, 2010 was discussed to determine the scope of what applicant intends to claim and to address 112 issues. Amendments to claim 1 are proposed to clarify the scope and address the 112 issues with claim 1. Balakrishnan was discussed according to the proposed amendment. No agreement was reached.

TQN: May 10, 2010